



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/559,095

12/01/2005

Marianne Hofmann

008312-000006

1462

30565

7590

02/01/2010

WOODARD, EMHARDT, MORIARTY, MCNETT & HENRY LLP
111 MONUMENT CIRCLE, SUITE 3700
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-5137

EXAMINER

HOEY, ALISSA L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3765

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/01/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketDept@uspatent.com

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/08/10 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 22, 27, 28, 32, 35, 37, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pampuch (US 4,174,710).

Pampuch teaches the following:

22. A hood, in particular for a clothing item for protective and military purposes, such as an NBC protective suit, said hood (4) comprising:
a hood body (4) having a peripheral edge defining a face opening (see figure), said face opening being constructed and arranged for receiving a respirator (1);
a peripheral elastic hem (5)

Art Unit: 3765

attached to said peripheral edge (see figure 1: identifiers 4 and 5), said peripheral elastic hem being constructed and arranged to extend around said face opening (see figure, identifier 5), said peripheral elastic hem (5) having an inner face and an outer face (see figure); and a plurality of peripheral sealing elements conjoined to the inner face of said peripheral elastic hem (see column 2, lines 12-16), said plurality of peripheral sealing elements comprised of elastofibers and being constructed (inherent due to elastic material construction), and arranged for abutment against and around the respirator received by said face opening (see figure and column 2 lines 12-16), wherein the individual sealing elements are in substantially parallel arrangement with each other (column 2, lines 12-16). Further, Pampuch teaches wherein the sealing elements abut the respirator linearly (column 2, lines 12-16: see figure 1) and the sealing elements project or protrude from the hem (column 2, lines 12-16).

27. The hood according to claim 22, wherein the sealing elements are each configured as one of the forms selected from the group consisting of a sealing ring, as a sealing lip or as a sealing protrusion (column 2, lines 12-16).

28. The hood according to claim 22, wherein the sealing elements are constructed and arranged as one of the structures selected from the group consisting of thread-shaped, ligament-shaped, string-shaped or strip-shaped or webbed-shaped or honeycomb-shaped (figure 1; column 2, lines 12-16).

Art Unit: 3765

32. The hood according to claim 22, wherein the elasticity of the sealing elements would inherently corresponds at least essentially to the elasticity of the hem (column 2, lines 12-16).

35. The hood according to claim 22, wherein the hood including the face opening comprises, on the side portion of face opening, a fastener (see figure).

37. A clothing item, in particular for protective and/or military purposes, such as an NBC protective suit (4) or the like, comprising a hood as defined in claim 22 (4).

39. A clothing item, in particular for protective and military purposes, such as an NBC protective suit or the like, said clothing item comprising: a clothing body (4) defining at least one opening for a body part, such as a hand, arm, foot, leg or head (see figure); having a peripheral elastic hem (5) attached to said clothing body (column 1, lines 58-62), said peripheral elastic hem (5) being constructed and arranged to extend around said opening (figure 1), the opening being provided for receiving a further clothing item or an equipment article (see figure 1; identifier 4 and 1), said peripheral elastic hem (5) having an inner face and an outer face and a plurality of peripheral sealing elements which are conjoined with the to the inner face of said peripheral elastic hem said plurality of peripheral sealing elements comprised of elastofibers (inherently, due to elastic material construction) and being constructed and arranged for abutment against and around the further clothing item or equipment article,

Art Unit: 3765

wherein the individual sealing elements are in substantially parallel arrangement with each other (column 2, lines 12-16). Further, Pampuch teaches wherein the sealing elements abut the respirator linearly (column 2, lines 12-16: see figure 1) and the sealing elements project or protrude from the hem (column 2, lines 12-16).

40. A method for closing out the transition between a portion of a clothing item (4) on the one hand and a further clothing item or equipment article (1) on the other hand by using an elastic hem (5), comprising the steps of:
providing an elastic hem (5) having an inner face and an outer face;
an joining said_elastic hem to said clothing item (column 1, lines 58-62) wherein said elastic hem (5)_faces the further clothing item or the equipment article;
providing a plurality of sealing elements in the form of elastofibers (inherently, due to elastic material); and conjoining said plurality of sealing elements with the inner face_of said hem for closeout abutment of the further clothing item or equipment article (see figure 1: column 2, lines 12-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 25, 26, 31, 33 and 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pampuch (US 4,174,710).

Art Unit: 3765

Pampuch teaches a garment as described above in claims 21 and 24. However, Pampuch fails to specifically teach the dimension the sealing elements project from the hem, the method of attaching the sealing elements to the hem, the sealing elements thickness in comparison to the hem, the extensibility of the sealing elements and the modulus of elasticity in stretching of the sealing elements.

In regard to claim 25, with respect to the sealing elements projecting or protruding from the hem by not less than 0.25 mm, preferably not less than 0.4 mm.

With respect to the sealing elements projecting from the hem by .4mm and .25mm. The specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions or any unexpected results arising therefrom, and that as such the dimensions are arbitrary and therefore obvious. Such unsupported cannot be a basis for patentability, since where patentability is said to be based upon the particular dimensions or another variable in the claim, the Applicant must show that the .25mm-.4mm protrusion of the sealing element from the hem is critical. *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

One having ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine through routine experimentation the ideal dimension for a particular application.

In regard to claim 26, with respect to the sealing elements being secured to the hem by using one of the securing methodologies selected from the group consisting of stitching, interweaving, adhering, stapling and welding. The specification contain no disclosure or either the critical nature of the

Art Unit: 3765

claimed attaching methods or any unexpected results arising therefrom, and that as such the attaching methods are arbitrary and therefore obvious.

One having ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine through routine experimentation the desired attaching method from many known attaching methods in the apparel arts including stitching, interweaving, adhering, stapling and welding.

In regard to claim 31, with respect to the cross-sectional thickness of the sealing elements being not less than 1/4 of the cross-sectional thickness of the hem. The specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising therefrom, and that as such the dimensions are arbitrary and therefore obvious. Such unsupported cannot be a basis for patentability, since where patentability is said to be based upon the particular dimensions or another variable in the claim, the Applicant must show that the thickness of the sealing element being not less than 1/4 of the cross-sectional thickness of the hem is critical. *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

One having ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine through routine experimentation the ideal thickness for the sealing element so that a good seal is achieved between the garment and the respirator.

In regard to claim 33, with respect to the sealing elements having a relative elastic extensibility, based on their original length, of not less than 30 %. The specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed extensibility dimensions of any unexpected results arising therefrom, and that as such the extensibility dimensions are arbitrary and therefore obvious. Such unsupported

Art Unit: 3765

cannot be a basis for patentability, since where patentability is said to be based upon the particular extensibility dimensions or another variable in the claim, the Applicant must show that the sealing elements having elastic extensibility of not less than 30%. *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

One having ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine through routine experimentation the ideal extensibility for a particular application.

In regard to claim 34, with respect to the material of which the sealing elements consist has, at 25 °C, a modulus of elasticity in stretching in the range of from 5.105 N.m² to 9.10 6 N.m².

The specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed extensibility dimensions of any unexpected results arising therefrom, and that as such the extensibility dimensions are arbitrary and therefore obvious. Such unsupported cannot be a basis for patentability, since where patentability is said to be based upon the particular extensibility dimensions or another variable in the claim, the Applicant must show that the sealing elements are made from a material that has a modulus of elasticity from 5.105Nm² to 9.10 6 N.m². *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

One having ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine through routine experimentation the ideal modulus of elasticity for the sealing elements to create a good sealing effect.

Art Unit: 3765

6. Claims 36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pampuch in view of Wood (GB 2,078,491).

Pampuch teaches a protective suit used with a respirator mask. However, Pampuch fails to teach a the hood having a liner.

In regard to claim 36, Wood teaches a protective garment with a hood, the hood (1) includes a liner (7) on its inside surface with an inside material, the inside material comprising a material selected from the group consisting of: (i) an adsorption-capable material on the basis of activated carbon, and (ii) a water-vapor-pervious, but gas-impervious barrier layer preventing or retarding the passage of harmful gases and liquids (page 1, lines 58-65).

In regard to claim 38, Wood teaches the clothing item includes a liner (7) on its inside surface with an inside material, the inside material comprising a material selected from the group consisting of: (i) an adsorption- capable material on the basis of activated carbon, and (ii) a water-vapor-pervious, but gas-impervious barrier layer preventing or retarding the passage of harmful gases and liquids (page 1, lines 58-65).

It would have been obvious to have provided the protective suit with respirator seal of Pampuch with the protective suit liner of Woods, since the protective suit of Pampuch provided with a liner, would provide even further protection to the user from the hazardous elements.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 07/07/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3765

I) Applicant argues that Pampuch fails to teach a plurality of peripheral sealing elements.

Examiner disagrees, since Pampuch teaches the elastic band element (5) is capable of having laterally spaced ribs surrounding the inside surface (see column 2, lines 12-15). Laterally spaced ribs attached to an elastic band is illustrated and discussed by Pampuch to represent the ribs (7) on band (6). The disclosure of Pampuch teaches the use of ribs on the inside surface of the elastic band element of the hood garment. These ribs are not illustrated in the figures, but that does not mean that that Pampuch does not teaches this limitation. The specification, claims and drawings are all elements of an invention that can be used to reject claims of limitation patent application. Therefore, Pampuch teaches the use of ribs on the inside of the elastic band (see column 2, lines 12-15).

Further, Pampuch teaches the elastic band element (5) having an additional sealing element at the end of the elastic band (5a: thickened end) and another sealing element next to the end of the elastic band (5c: groove). These two sealing elements (5a, 5c) can be interpreted as the plurality of peripheral elements (see figures).

II) Applicant argues that Pampuch fails to teach the sealing element being capable of use on any respirator mask, but a specifically grooved respirator mask.

In response to Applicant's above argument the Pampuch does not include certain feature of Applicant's invention, the limitations on which the Applicant relies (i.e., the

Art Unit: 3765

sealing element being capable of use with any respirator mask) is not stated in the claims. It is the claims that define the claimed invention, and it is claims, not specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable. *Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices Inc.*, 7 USPQ 2d 1064.

III) Applicant argues that even if Pampuch teaches ribs along the inner surface of the elastic band, the ribs would not be sealing elements but connection elements.

Examiner disagrees, since the ribs of Pampuch extending along the inner surface of the elastic band interact with ribs (2, 2a) on the mask to seal the mask to the hood garment, both sets of ribs are sealing elements that interact with one another. The sealing elements extending along the inner surface of the hood of Pampuch read on all the structural limitations as claimed by Applicant and are therefore sealing elements.

Conclusion

8. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued

Art Unit: 3765

examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to 3 whose telephone number is (571) 272-4985. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30)Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alissa L. Hoey/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765